

Ranking Criteria for YRITWC Brownfield Assessment Applicants

Reviewer:

APPLICANT:

Date:

Category	6-10 points	3-5 points	0 to 2 points	Points	Comments
Identifiable/viable Responsible Party (RP)	None identified	Possible RP identified	Identified RP available		
Current Site Use	Abandoned	Under-utilized	Fully-utilized		
Potential Impact on Human Health	Site poses direct health or safety threat (ground water, direct contact)	Possible exposure and/or site is accessible to public/children	Little or no perceived impact on human health		
Potential Impact on Environmental Health	Obvious impact on environment (Oil sheens, residual contamination, no plant growth)	Indications of environmental impact (leeching, vegetation stunted)	Little or no perceived impact on environmental health		
Reuse or Redevelopment Vision/Potential	Reuse plan already established and viable (details, site features, cost estimates, etc)	Articulates specific reuse goal or vision	No plan, or identifies possible reuse(s)		
Level of Local Concern/Support	Project team established, multiple stakeholders on board	Support listed, but vague or lacking concrete description	No support specified or very minimal		
Bonus: subsistence activity impacted/direct impact on water quality of Yukon River; up to 3 points					

Further consideration: assessment costs HIGH (0) MED (1) LOW (2)

TOTAL POINTS OUT OF 65: